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Abstract:
Background: Ulcerative colitis (UC) is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the colon that often extends to involve the remainder of the large intestine in a continuous manner. Intestinal amoebiasis can present as a chronic, dysenteric syndrome of diarrhea, weight loss, and abdominal pain that can last for years and mimic ulcerative colitis.

Objective: Studying the prevalence of E. histolytica by using serological method ELISA for their detection, Investigate the possible role of E.histolytica as a cause of blood, diarrhea in non-ulcerative colitis group & Comparison between serological detection of E.histolytica and stool examination.

Patients and Methods: Retrospective and prospective study was conducted on 48 patients (18 males, 30 females); 30 patients were diagnosed as ulcerative colitis and 18 as non ulcerative colitis patients by endoscopy, histopathology, radiology and laboratory examinations at Gastroenterology and Hepatology Teaching Hospital through the period between January 2008 and June 2008. From all patients and control, a detailed medical history was taken; routine examination and relevant investigations were done. Blood and fresh stool specimens were collected from all patients and control. General stool examination and ELISA tests for IgG anti E.histolytica antibodies were assessed.

Results: Histological typing of ulcerative colitis grouped into chronic ulcerative colitis 42 cases(86.67%) and active on chronic ulcerative colitis 6 cases (13.33%). By general stool examination, E.histolytica was positive in (70%) of ulcerative colitis (53.33% cyst and 16.67% trophozoit) and results of ELISA test for IgG anti E.histolytica antibodies was significant compared with control group (P < 0.05). General stool examination and IgG anti- E histolytica antibodies has been found that 75.8% of patients have positive IgG and cyst stage, and 77.8% were have positive IgG and trophozoit stage , while 24.2% of negative GSE results were also negative for IgG anti-E.histolytica antibodies, and the association was significant compared with control group (P < 0.05).

Conclusion: E.histolytica IgG Abs were significantly higher in ulcerative colitis compared to non ulcerative colitis group. Close association between E.histolytica and ulcerative colitis also there is a high incidence of E.histolytica among group of non ulcerative colitis patients.

Sensitivity of detection of E.histolytica by ELISA and GSE is rather equal.
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Introduction:
Ulcerative colitis it is a chronic inflammatory disorder of the colon that often extends to involve the remainder of the large intestine in a continuous manner. The disease is characterized by exacerbations and periods of remission. When the inflammation occurs in the rectum and lower part of the colon it is called ulcerative proctitis. If the entire colon is affected it is called pancolitis. If only the left side of the colon is affected it is called limited or distal colitis. (1,2)

It can be difficult to diagnose because its symptoms are similar to other intestinal disorders and to another type of inflammatory bowel disease called Crohn’s disease. (2) Ulcerative colitis can occur in people of any age, but it usually starts between the ages of 15 and 30, and less frequently between 50 and 70 years of age. It affects men and women equally and appears to run in families, with reports of up to 20 percent of people with ulcerative colitis having a family member or relative with ulcerative colitis or Crohn’s disease. A higher incidence of ulcerative colitis is seen in White people. (3,4) Amebiasis, which affects nearly 500 million people in the world, is more prevalent in developing countries in particular (5). It is difficult to distinguish IBD from colitis associated with amoeba according to both symptomatic and endoscopic appearance of the colon. It is not possible to
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Establish a diagnosis by means of microscopic examination, because sometimes IBD can co-exist with amebiasis. This, of course, leads to confusion in the diagnosis and treatment of the disease. Intestinal amoebiasis, it’s a cause of infective colitis can probably also present as a chronic, non-dysenteric syndrome of diarrhea, weight loss, and abdominal pain that can last for years and mimic inflammatory bowel disease. Fulminant colitis with bowel necrosis leading to perforation and peritonitis occurs in only about 0.5% of cases, but it is associated with a mortality rate of more than 40%. Patients with invasive amoebiasis living in developed countries generally acquire the infection in another country in which the pathogenic species is endemic. Areas that have high rates of amoebic infection include Asia, India, and Africa, Mexico and parts of Central and South America. Infection with pathogenic E. histolytica is not a common cause of travelers’ diarrhea, and gastrointestinal infection is uncommon in travelers who have spent less than one month in endemic areas. (7, 8)

Despite the availability of sophisticated investigative procedures, differentiating invasive colonic amoebiasis from idiopathic inflammatory bowel disease (IBD) may be difficult. Presented to remind clinicians of the similarities in the clinical presentation and endoscopic features of these two conditions, and to highlight the difficulty in differentiating them. (9, 10)

Material and Methods:

This case-series study included 48 patients (18 males and 30 females) 30 patients were diagnosed as ulcerative colitis their age ranged from 17 years to 60 years old with a mean age of (40.73 years ± 12.269); and 18 patients as non-ulcerative colitis their age range from 3 years to 60 years old with the mean age (27.46 years ± 22.423). They were attendants of Gastroenterology and Hepatology Teaching Hospital in Baghdad from January 2008 to June 2008. The diagnosis in each case was established by clinical examination and simple investigation. They were subjected to colonoscopy or sigmoidoscopy after adequate preparation they were classified into 30 patients as ulcerative colitis and 18 patients as non ulcerative colitis. Eighteen healthy individuals (6 males and 12 females) were enrolled as a control in this study their age ranged from 18 to 48 years with a mean age of (31 years ± 9.883). Five ml venous blood was aspirated from and collected in clean plastic container and directly examined after mount preparation by normal saline for E.histolytica trophozoit and iodine for E.histolytica cyst. Material is enzyme immunoassay kit for detection of IgG antibodies to E. histolytica in serum (IVD Research INC quality diagnostic products).

Result:

This study included 48 patients attended Gastroenterology and Hepatology Teaching Hospital (a major referral center in Baghdad), and 18 healthy individual as control. Thirty cases (45.45%) were ulcerative colitis, 18 (27.27%) were non ulcerative colitis and 18 (27.27% as control. There was a significant association between types of disease and endoscopic finding (P<0.05), it was found that about 60% of patients with ulcerative colitis had proctitis, 20% left side colitis, and 20% pancolitis while finding were approximately equally distributed in patients with non ulcerative colitis patients between proctitis, left side colitis and normal (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ulcerative Colitis No</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Non Ulcerative Colitis No</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>Total number</th>
<th>%</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>18</td>
<td>60.0</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38.9</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>52.1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>33.3</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>25.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>20.0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12.5</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10.4</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (2) demonstrates the distribution of all study groups according to their gender. Females preponderance with female: male ratio = 1.7:1, but statistically there was no significant difference. (P > 0.05).
Table (2): distribution of study groups according to gender.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Group</th>
<th>Gender</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Male</td>
<td>Female</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative Colitis</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Non ulcerative colitis</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (3) demonstrates the prevalence of IgG anti-E histolytica antibodies by ELISA test of all study groups, in which IgG anti E.histolytica antibodies were significantly higher in ulcerative colitis 23 (76.67%) cases compared to non ulcerative colitis group, 12 (66.67%) cases, so that the association between disease state (ulcerative colitis or non ulcerative colitis group) and results of ELISA test for IgG anti E.histolytica antibodies was significant compared with control group (P < 0.05).

Table (4) demonstrates the comparison between positive results for E.histolytica in both tests; ELISA and GSE in all study groups.

Concerning the detection of E histolytica by ELISA and GSE we found that they were somewhat equal in both methods for all study groups (the ratio were about 1:1).
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Discussion:
This case - series study included 48 patients with colitis attended the Gastroenterology and Hepatology Teaching Hospital (a major referral center in Baghdad) (45.45% were ulcerative colitis and 27.27% were non ulcerative colitis group) and 18 healthy individuals as control (27.27%). The peak age incidence of patients with ulcerative colitis in this study group was between 21 -50 years (mean=33.11 years ± 15.682); and females preponderance with female: male ratio = 1.7:1; similar result was reported by an Iraqi study Shafeek (2002). (11). In other study done by Al-akyshee (2002) the peak age incidence of ulcerative colitis was between 20-30 years with a mean of 35.5 years and female: male ratio 1.2:1 (12) Studies from united state of America describe two peak age incidence for ulcerative colitis patients one between 20-40 years and one after 70 years, with an equal sex incidence or slightly female preponderance may be due to hormons. (13, 14) In this study and other Iraqi studies no second peak had been identified because of low number of patients after age of 70 years. The use of colonoscopy in detection of mucosal disease, its severity and extent is well established and in diagnosis of ulcerative colitis versus other cause of diarrhea with blood, generally showed good correlation with histological typing. (15)However a minor discrepancy and under estimation of endoscopic finding in comparison to histology is well recognize in comparison to literature. There for, it seems appropriate to recommend use of both endoscopy and histology for assessment of disease activity and extent. The colonoscopy with multiple biopsies has come to be the recommended routine investigation for diagnosis of ulcerative colitis versus other cause of diarrhea with blood like infected colitis patients. (16) Still colonoscopy is not recommended as a routine diagnostic approach in intestinal amoebic ulcerations because it may increase the likelihood the perforation during instillation of air to expand the colon. (17) Histological evaluation has been shows to be more sensitive tool than endoscopy alone for determination of disease activity. (19) Moum et al (1999) have found that overall agreement between endoscopic and histological findings regarding extent of disease to be 78% at time of diagnosis compared to be 60%at time of follow up. (20) In this study anti IgGE.histolytica antibodies were significantly positive in ulcerative colitis patients (76.67%) compared to control group (38.9%); and anti IgG E.histolytica antibodies were significantly positive in non ulcerative colitis group (66.67%) compared to control (38.9%), (P<0.05). In other studies up to 25% of uninfected individuals in endemic areas have anti-amoebic

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Group</th>
<th>E. histolytica IgG Positive</th>
<th>E. histolytica in GSE</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>N</td>
<td>%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ulcerative Colitis</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>76.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonulcerative colitis</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Control</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>38.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (5): Distribution of study sample according to results of GSE and IgG anti- E histolytica antibodies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>IgG anti- E.histolytica antibodies</th>
<th>GSE Findings</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cyst</td>
<td>Trophozoite</td>
<td>Negative</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Positive</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>22.2</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>100.0</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table (4): Comparison between proportions of positive results for E. histolytica in both tests; ELISA and GSE in all study groups.
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antibodies due to previous, often undiagnosed infection with *E. histolytica*. (21) A recent study in Turkey showed that amoeba infection in patients with IBD, especially those with UC, is more prevalent than in the normal population (22), Bayramicli et al (23) explored the presence of *E. histolytica* in 69 % of the cases of ulcerative colitis patients. While In a study carried out in the Province of Antalya determined the rate of amebiasis in 43% of patients with ulcerative colitis. (24) These results are in agreement with our study results. Other studies showed a variable incidence of *E. histolytica* in patients with ulcerative colitis ranging from low result (4.85 %) in a study done by Prokopowicz et al (25) to a higher incidence like that detected by Suleymanlar et al that found *E. histolytica* cysts and trophozoites in 22 (54 %) of the patients with ulcerative colitis patients.(26) This difference in incidence of *E. histolytica* in patients with ulcerative colitis is may be due to environmental factors, high temperature, humidity, and lower immune resistance against infection, in addition to poor hygiene.(26), so in Iraq there is high incidence of *E. histolytica* in patients with ulcerative colitis. *E. histolytica* was positive by general stool examination in 70%of cases with ulcerative colitis (53.33% as cyst and 16.67% as trophozoit); this concomitance infection is important to know because *E. histolytica* should be properly treated before starting steroid therapy in patient with ulcerative colitis. (27) General stool examination for *E. histolytica* was parallel to the positive result obtained by ELISA for IgG anti *E. histolytica* antibodies (76.67%) Therefore, we believe that *E. histolytica* must be explored in the feces before planning a diagnostic scheme for cases diagnosed as IBD. (28)However other studies considered the stool microscopy as a relatively poor method for diagnosing intestinal amoebiasis because it is time-consuming, laborious, and requires specific expertise. (29) The high percentage of anti *E. histolytica* antibodies in patients with non ulcerative colitis group may be the cause of there disease and in need to be followed with their physician to confirm the diagnosis and get the exact incidence of *E. histolytica* among the patients with chronic diarrhea with blood.

Conclusion:

IgG anti *E. histolytica* Abs were significantly higher in ulcerative colitis (76.67%) compared to non ulcerative colitis group (66.67%). There was a close association between *E. histolytica* and ulcerative colitis also there is a high incidence of *E. histolytica* among group of non ulcerative colitis patients & sensitivity of detection of *E. histolytica* by ELISA and GSE is rather equal.
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