Relation of the Ankle Brachial Index (ABI) to left ventricular systolic function in patients with coronary artery disease
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Abstract:

Background: Atherosclerosis is a diffuse disease process, being present in one vascular bed predicts its presence in the others. Ankle –Brachial Pressure Index (ABI) is a simple index related to the extent of atherosclerosis in coronary and noncoronary arterial beds, reflecting generalized atherosclerosis.

Objectives: the aim of this study is to evaluate the relation of ABI to left ventricular systolic function using echocardiography in patients with coronary artery disease (CAD).

Patients and Methods: Sixty seven patients (67) with CAD of either sex (70% males) with mean age 58±6 years enrolled in this study, from December 2013 till May 2014; all were referred to the Iraqi Centre for Heart Disease (I.C.H.D.) for further evaluation and management. The ABI for each leg was calculated by dividing the lowest value of the ankle systolic blood pressure (SBP) (over both dorsalis pedis and posterior tibial arteries) by the highest value of either brachial SBP by using a Doppler ultrasound. The lower of the two values was used to define the patient ABI. The ejection fraction (EF) % and fractional shortening (FS) % were determined by using trans-thoracic echocardiography. Participants were divided into two groups according to ABI results: Group І: 29 patients with abnormal low ABI (ABI ≤ 0.90) with ABI mean of 0.79±0.12 and Group ІІ: 38 patients with normal ABI (0.9< ABI ≤1.30) with ABI mean of 1.03±0.10 as a control group.

Results: There were no statistically significant differences in statistics between the two groups regarding risk factors for atherosclerosis. EF% was significantly lower in group І than those in group ІІ in such a way that the mean values of EF% was 53.41±14.72 for group І vs. 63.11±8.93 for group ІІ with the p value = 0.003. Also ABI is correlated with the severity of left ventricular systolic dysfunction.

Conclusion: ABI is a useful bedside clinical test that predicts and assess left ventricular systolic dysfunction in patients with coronary artery disease.
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Introduction:

Atherosclerosis is a disorder that comprises the development of focal atheroma, within the intima and inner portion of the media. As the disorder advances, the atheroma undergoes a variety of complications as calcification, internal hemorrhages, ulceration, and sometimes superimposed thrombosis (1). Coronary artery disease (CAD) is a narrowing of the vessels that supply blood and oxygen to the heart and it is most commonly due to atherosclerosis in about 99 % of cases (2, 3).Studies found that the prevalence of coronary artery disease (CAD) forms up to 90% in patients with peripheral artery disease (PAD) (1). The ankle brachial index (ABI) is a simple non-invasive test, reflecting the ratio of the systolic blood pressure (SBP) in the ankle divided by SBP in the brachial artery.PAD is commonly assessed by the measurement of ABI and low ABI measurements (≤ 0.90) have been studied as a marker of atherosclerotic PAD for over 40 years (4, 5). Numerous studies have found low ABI values to be an independent predictor of cardiovascular events, including myocardial infarction, stroke, and mortality (6). Normal values generally range from 0.91–1.3 (7). The ratio of ABI is > 1.0 because the shape of the arterial waveform changes from the central aorta to the periphery, with the systolic blood pressure increasing at peripheral sites owing to arterial waveform reflection and summation (8) Although CAD is often accompanied by left ventricular (LV) systolic dysfunction (9), data relating ABI values to LV structural and functional abnormalities are sparse. Recently, low ABI values have been found to be associated with LV hypertrophy (10) a well-known risk factor for LV dysfunction and heart failure (11). Because left ventricular (LV) systolic function has been shown to influence arterial wave reflective properties (10), it is presumed that the ABI would reflect LV systolic function, as well atherosclerosis. Exact measurement of ventricular function in CAD patients has an important role in future treatment plans. Current study aim is to evaluate the relation of
ABI to left ventricular systolic function by measuring ejection fraction and fractional shortening using echocardiography in patients with coronary artery disease.

Patients and Methods:
Sixty seven patients (67) of either sex (70% males with mean age 58± 6 years) with coronary artery disease (CAD) as documented by coronary angiography enrolled in this study, from December 2013 till May 2014; all were referred to the Iraqi Centre for Heart Disease (I.C.H.D.) for diagnostic coronary angiography, percutaneous coronary intervention (PCI) or for coronary artery bypass graft (CABG). Inclusion criteria include patients with positive coronary angiography (stenosis ≥50% for the left main stem and stenosis ≥70% for all other segments) while patients with normal coronary angiography, Predominant valvular heart diseases, patients with previous PCI and patients with previous CABG are excluded. All patients underwent determination of ABI and transthoracic echocardiographic studies in the same day. While the patient had rested in the supine position for at least 10 minutes whith the head and heels supported by the bed in a quiet room with comfortable temperature (21–23 °C) to prevent vasoconstriction, the systolic blood pressure is measured at the right and left brachial, dorsalis pedis and posterior tibial arteries using a Doppler ultrasound probe (Huntleigh) held at 45 degrees angle over the arteries. The ABI for each leg was calculated by dividing the lowest value of either ankle SBP (dorsalis pedis or posterior tibial) by the highest value of either brachial SBP. The ABI is calculated for each leg separately, and the lower of the two values was used to define the patient ABI. Transthoracic echocardiography is performed for each patient involved in this study. The left ventricular (LV) dimensions were measured from M mode two-dimensional images according to the American Society of Echocardiography standards. While, the LV volumes were calculated according to the Teichholz M-mode formula and the ejection fraction was calculated as follows: EF% = (Stroke volume)/(End diastolic volume) ×100% and the FS % = (LV internal dimension in diastole -LV internal dimension in systole)/ LV internal dimension in diastole ×100%. Normal EF% was defined as ≥ 55% whereas a normal FS% lies in the range of 25–43 % (12). Accordingly, participants were divided into two groups according to ABI results: Group I: 29 patients with abnormal low ABI (ABI ≤ 0.90) with ABI mean of 0.79±0.12 and Group II: 38 patients with normal ABI (0.9< ABI ≤1.30) with ABI mean of 1.03±0.10 served as control group.

Statistical Analysis:
All data were coded and computerized analysis using the Statistical Package for Social Sciences (SPSS 13) program, the associations between discrete variables were measured using (chi square test), and the differences between continuous variables were measured using the (t test) and the analysis of variance (ANOVA) test. The Correlation coefficient was used to measure the association between the continuous variables, a logistic regression models were built to recognize the dependent and independent factors. P value ≤0.05 was considered as a cutoff value for the level of significance. Consequently, the graphs were executed using SPSS while the tables were executed by using Microsoft Office word 2010.

Results:
The characteristics of the two groups: Group I; consisted of 29(43.28 %) patients of either sex; 20 men and 9 women with a mean age of 58.93± 6.52years with abnormal low ABI (ABI ≤ 0.90) with ABI mean (0.79±0.12) and Group II; consisted of 38(56.72 %) patients of either sex; 27 men and 11 women with a mean age of 58.93 ±7.85years with normal ABI (0.9< ABI ≤1.30) with ABI mean (1.03±0.10). They are age and gender matched groups. Table (1) shows no significant difference in their demographic, anthropometric and clinical characteristics (P>0.05).

Table (1): Demographic, anthropometric and clinical data of studied groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Group I (ABI≤0.9)</th>
<th>Group II (0.9&lt;ABI≤1.3)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Age(years)</td>
<td>58.93±6.52</td>
<td>58.93±7.85</td>
<td>0.315</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI(Kg/m2)</td>
<td>27.79±4.34</td>
<td>27.03±4.50</td>
<td>0.756</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Waist to hip ratio(WHR)</td>
<td>0.96 ± 0.097</td>
<td>0.95 ± 0.078</td>
<td>0.828</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SBP(mmHg)</td>
<td>137.24±21.70</td>
<td>119.89±13.79</td>
<td>0.097</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DBP(mmHg)</td>
<td>74.14±14.27</td>
<td>73.71±9.54</td>
<td>0.627</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MABP(mmHg)</td>
<td>94.62±16.49</td>
<td>87.82±15.17</td>
<td>0.166</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Data are expressed as mean±SD.
- Significant whenever the P value is ≤ 0.05.
- BMI= body mass index.
- SBP = systolic blood pressure.
- DBP= diastolic blood pressure.
- MABP= mean arterial blood pressure.

As shown in Table (2) there are no significant difference between the two groups regarding age, gender, smoking, accompanied comorbidities including hypertension and dyslipidemia (P>0.05). However, it shown statistically significant increase in the percentage of diabetes mellitus in
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Group I when compared to Group II (55.2% and 34.2% with p value 0.001) both of them uncontrolled diabetes to the time of examination in which the mean fasting blood sugar are 180 ± 48 mg/dL for group I and 145±46 mg/dL for group II.

Table (2): Risk factors distribution among the studied groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Variables</th>
<th>Group I (ABI≤ 0.9)</th>
<th>Group II (0.9&lt;ABI≤1.3)</th>
<th>P value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean=0.79±0.12</td>
<td>mean=1.03±0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 29</td>
<td>n = 38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>71.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>28.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smoking</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>47.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hyperlipidemia</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>75.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diabetes mellitus</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>55.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hypertension</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>62.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Regarding the parameters that reflect left ventricular systolic function, Table (3) and Figure (1) shown a statistically significant (p value ≤ 0.05) decreases in the left ventricular EF% for Group I when compared to Group II in such a way that the mean values of EF% were (53.41±14.72 vs. 63.11±8.93) as shown in.

Table 3: Left ventricle systolic function parameters among the studied groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systolic function</th>
<th>Group I (ABI≤ 0.9)</th>
<th>Group II (0.9&lt;ABI≤1.3)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean=0.79±0.12</td>
<td>mean=1.03±0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 29</td>
<td>n = 38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EF%</td>
<td>53.41±14.72</td>
<td>63.11±8.93</td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FS%</td>
<td>28.62±9.96</td>
<td>33.82±7.39</td>
<td>0.035</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Data are expressed as mean±SD.
- Significant whenever the P value is ≤ 0.05.
- EF= ejection fraction.
- FS= fractional shortening.

Figure (1): Left ventricular EF% distribution among the studied groups.

When the left ventricular systolic dysfunction forms were considered as shown in table (4), higher % (34.5%) of subjects within Group I with low ABI developed mild form while the higher % (86.8%) of subjects within Group II with normal ABI developed normal systolic function form; so the result of the study showed that there were more sever forms of systolic dysfunction in the low ABI group. There were statistically non-significant (P value > 0.05) positive linear correlation between EF % and ABI in low ABI Group (Group I) r = 0.01.

Table (4): Forms of Left ventricle systolic dysfunction among the studied groups.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Systolic function Forms</th>
<th>Group I (ABI≤ 0.9)</th>
<th>Group II (0.9&lt;ABI≤1.3)</th>
<th>P Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mean=0.79±0.12</td>
<td>mean=1.03±0.10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>n = 29</td>
<td>n = 38</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Normal</td>
<td>33.9%</td>
<td>86.8%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mild</td>
<td>34.5%</td>
<td>10.5%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Moderate</td>
<td>24.1%</td>
<td>2.6%</td>
<td>0.000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sever</td>
<td>3.4%</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Discussion:

In this study the relatively wide range distribution of the age for the studied groups (40-70 years) may indicate the coronary artery disease almost distributed from middle to elderly age which is the same finding stated by Curtis M. Rimmerman(13) who stated that the age prevalence of CAD were >30 years or <69 years. Also in this study showed that the higher percentage of male involvement among the studied groups the male percentage being higher than female in the low and normal ABI groups (69.0% and 71.1% respectively). These values seem to be approximately similar to the values in other studies (14,
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Abnormal ABI is associated with left ventricular systolic dysfunction. Accordingly, ABI is a useful bedside clinical test that predicts and assess left ventricular systolic dysfunction in patients with CAD.

Conclusion:
Abnormal ABI is associated with left ventricular systolic dysfunction. Accordingly, ABI is a useful bedside clinical test that predicts and assess left ventricular systolic dysfunction in patients with CAD.
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